arXiv:1010.1441v1 [math.AT] 7 Oct 2010

REALIZABILITY OF THE GROUP OF RATIONAL SELF-HOMOTOPY EQUIVALENCES

MAHMOUD BENKHALIFA

Abstract. For a 1-connected CW-complex X, let $\mathcal{E}(X)$ denote the group of homotopy classes of self-homotopy equivalences of X. The aim of this paper is to prove that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a 1-connected rational CW-complex X_n such that $\mathcal{E}(X_n) \cong \underbrace{\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2}_{2^{n+1}.\text{times}}$.

1. Introduction

If X is a 1-connected CW-complex, let $\mathcal{E}(X)$ denote the set of homotopy classes of self-homotopy equivalences of X. It is well-known that $\mathcal{E}(X)$ is a group with respect to composition of homotopy classes. As pointed out by D. W. Khan [4], a basic problem about self-equivalences is the realizability of $\mathcal{E}(X)$, i.e., when for a given group G there exists a CW-complex X such that $\mathcal{E}(X) \cong G$.

In this paper we consider a particular problem asked by M. Arkowitz and G Lupton in [1]: let G be a finite group, is there a rational 1-connected CW-complex X such that $\mathcal{E}(X) \cong G$.

In this case the group G is said to be *rationally realizable*.

Our main result says:

Theorem. *The groups* $\underbrace{\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2}_{2^{n+1}.\text{times}}$ *are rationally realizable for every* $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We will obtain these result working on the theory elaborated by Sullivan [3] which asserts that the homotopy of 1-connected rational spaces is equivalent to the homotopy theory of 1-connected minimal cochain commutative algebras over the rationals (mccas, for short). Recall that there exists a reasonable concept of homotopy among cochain morphisms between two mccas, analogous in many respects to the topological notion of homotopy.

Because of this equivalence we deduce that $\mathcal{E}(X) \cong \mathcal{E}(\Lambda V, \partial)$, where $(\Lambda V, \partial)$ is the mcca associated with X (called the minimal Sullivan model of X) and where $\mathcal{E}(\Lambda V, \partial)$ denotes the group of self-homotopy equivalences of $(\Lambda V, \partial)$. Therefore we can translate our problem to the following: let G be a finite group. Is there a mcca $(\Lambda V, \partial)$ such that $\mathcal{E}(\Lambda V, \partial) \cong G$?

Note that, in [1], M. Arkowitz and G Lupton have given examples showing that $\mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ are rationally realizable. Recently and by using a technique radically different from the one used in [1], the author [2] showed that $\underbrace{\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2}_{2^{n+1}.\text{times}}$ are rationally realizable for all $n \leq 10$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55P62, 55Q05. Secondary 55S35.

Key words and phrases. Groups of self-homotopy equivalences, rational homotopy theory.

2. THE MAIN RESULT

2.1. **Notion of homotopy for mccas.** Let $(\Lambda(t, dt), d)$ be the free commutative graded algebra on the basis $\{t, dt\}$ with $\mid t \mid= 0$, $\mid dt \mid=1$, and let d be the differential sending $t \mapsto dt$. Define augmentations:

$$\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1 : (\Lambda(t, dt), d) \to \mathbb{Q} \quad \text{by} \quad \varepsilon_0(t) = 0, \varepsilon_1(t) = 1$$

Definition 2.1. *([3]) Two cochain morphisms $\alpha_0, \alpha_1 : (\Lambda V, \partial) \to (\Lambda W, \delta)$ are homotopic if there is a cochain morphism $\Phi : (\Lambda V, \partial) \to (\Lambda W, \delta) \otimes (\Lambda(t, dt), d)$ such that , $i = 0, 1$. Here Φ is called a homotopy from α_0 to α_1.*

Thereafter we will need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\alpha_0, \alpha_1 : (\Lambda V^{\leq n+1}, \partial) \to (\Lambda W^{\leq n+1}, \delta)$ be two cochain morphisms such that $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1$ on $V^{\leq n}$. Assume that for every generator $v \in V^{n+1}$ we have:*

$$\alpha_0(v) = \alpha_1(v) + \partial(y_v)$$

where $y_v \in (\Lambda W^{\leq n+1})^n$. Then α_0 and α_1 are homotopic.

Proof. Define $\Phi : (\Lambda V, \partial) \to (\Lambda V, \partial) \otimes (\Lambda(t, dt), d)$ by setting:

$$\Phi(v) = \alpha_1(v) + \partial(y_v)t - (-1)^{|\partial(y_v)|} y_v dt \qquad \text{and} \ \Phi = \alpha_0 \ \text{on} \ V^{\leq n} \tag{2.1}$$

It is clear that Φ is a cochain algebra satisfying $(id.\varepsilon_0) \circ \Phi = \alpha_1$, $(id.\varepsilon_1) \circ \Phi = \alpha_0$ ☐

2.2. **The linear maps b^n, $n \geq 3$.**

Definition 2.2. *Let $(\Lambda V, \partial)$ be a 1-connected mcca. For every $n \geq 3$, we define the linear map $b^n : V^n \to H^{n+1}(\Lambda V^{\leq n-1})$ by setting:*

$$b^n(v_n) = [\partial(v_n)] \tag{2.2}$$

Here $[\partial(v_n)]$ denotes the cohomology class of $\partial(v_n) \in (\Lambda V^{\leq n-1})^{n+1}$.

For every 1-connected mcca $(\Lambda V, \partial)$, the linear map b_n are natural. Namely if $\alpha : (\Lambda V, \partial) \to (\Lambda W, \delta)$ is a cochain morphism between two 1-connected mccas, then the following diagram commutes for all $n \geq 2$:

$$\begin{tikzcd} V^{n+1} \arrow[r, "\widetilde{\alpha}^{n+1}"] \arrow[d, "b^{n+1}"] & W^{n+1} \arrow[d, "b'^{n+1}"] \\ H^{n+2}(\Lambda V^{\leq n}) \arrow[r, "H^{n+2}(\alpha_{(n)})"] & H^{n+2}(\Lambda W^{\leq n}) \end{tikzcd} \tag{1}$$

where $\widetilde{\alpha} : V^* \to W^*$ is the graded homomorphism induced by α on the indecomposables and where $\alpha_{(n)} : (\Lambda V^{\leq n}, \partial) \to (\Lambda W^{\leq n}, \delta)$ is the restriction of α.

2.3. The groups $\mathcal{C}^{n+1}$, where $n \geq 2$.

Definition 2.3. *Given a 1-connected mcca* $(\Lambda V^{\leq n+1}, \partial)$. *Let* $\mathcal{C}^{n+1}$ *be the subset of* $Aut(V^{n+1}) \times \mathcal{E}(\Lambda V^{\leq n}, \partial)$ *consisting of the couples* $(\xi^{n+1}, [\alpha_{(n)}])$ *making the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
V^{n+1} \arrow[r, "\xi^{n+1}"] \arrow[d, "b^{n+1}"] & V^{n+1} \arrow[d, "b^{n+1}"] \\
H^{n+2}(\Lambda V^{\leq n}) \arrow[r, "H^{n+2}(\alpha_{(n)})"] & H^{n+2}(\Lambda V^{\leq n})
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2}$$

where $Aut(V^{n+1})$ *is the group of automorphisms of the vector space* V^{n+1}.

Equipped with the composition laws, the set $\mathcal{C}^{n+1}$ becomes a subgroup of $Aut(V^{n+1}) \times \mathcal{E}(\Lambda V^{\leq n}, \partial)$.

Proposition 2.1. *There exists a surjective homomorphism* $\Phi^{n+1} : \mathcal{E}(\Lambda V^{\leq n+1}, \partial) \to \mathcal{C}^{n+1}$ *given by the relation:*

$$\Phi^{n+1}([\alpha]) = (\widetilde{\alpha}^{n+1}, [\alpha_{(n)}])$$

Remark 2.1. *It is well-known ([3] proposition 12.8) that if two cochain morphisms* $\alpha, \alpha' : (\Lambda V^{\leq n+1}, \partial) \to (\Lambda V^{\leq n+1}, \partial)$ *are homotopic, then they induce the same graded linear maps on the indecomposables, i.e.,* $\widetilde{\alpha} = \widetilde{\alpha'}$, *moreover* $\alpha_{(n)}, \alpha'_{(n)}$ *are homotopic and by using the diagram (1) we deduce that the map* Φ^{n+1} *is well-defined.*

Proof. Let $(\xi^{n+1}, [\alpha_{(n)}]) \in \mathcal{C}^{n+1}$. Choose $(v_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$ as a basis of V^{n+1}. Recall that, in the diagram (2), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} H^{n+2}(\alpha_{(n)}) \circ b^{n+1}(v_\sigma) &= \alpha_{(n)} \circ \partial(v_\sigma) + \operatorname{Im} \partial_{\leq n} \\ b^{n+1} \circ \xi^{n+1}(v_\sigma) &= \partial \circ \xi^{n+1}(v_\sigma) + \operatorname{Im} \partial_{\leq n} \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where $\partial_{\leq n} : (\Lambda V^{\leq n})^{n+1} \to (\Lambda V^{\leq n})^{n+2}$. Note that here we have used the relation (2.2).
Since by definition 2.3 this diagram commutes, the element $(\alpha_{(n)} \circ \partial - \partial \circ \xi^{n+1})(v_\sigma) \in \operatorname{Im} \partial_{\leq n}$. As a consequence there exists $u_\sigma \in (\Lambda V^{\leq n})^{n+1}$ such that:

$$(\alpha_{(n)} \circ \partial - \partial \circ \xi^{n+1})(v_\sigma) = \partial_{\leq n}(u_\sigma). \tag{2.4}$$

Thus we define $\alpha : (\Lambda V^{\leq n+1}, \partial) \to (\Lambda V^{\leq n+1}, \partial)$ by setting:

$$\alpha(v_\sigma) = \xi^{n+1}(v_\sigma) + u_\sigma \ , \ v_\sigma \in V^{n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = \alpha_{(n)} \text{ on } V^{\leq n}. \tag{2.5}$$

As $\partial(v_\sigma) \in (\Lambda V^{\leq n})^{n+2}$ then, by (2.4), we get:

$$\partial \circ \alpha(v_\sigma) = \partial(\xi^{n+1}(v_\sigma)) + \partial_{\leq n}(u_\sigma) = \alpha_{(n)} \circ \partial(v_\sigma) = \alpha \circ \partial(v_\sigma)$$

So α is a cochain morphism. Now due to the fact that $u_\sigma \in (\Lambda V^{\leq n})^{n+1}$, the linear map $\widetilde{\alpha}^{n+1} : V^{n+1} \to V^{n+1}$ coincides with ξ^{n+1}.

Finally it is well-known (see [3]) that any cochain morphism between two 1-connected mccas inducing a graded linear isomorphism on the indecomposables is a homotopy equivalence. Consequently $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}(\Lambda V^{\leq n+1}, \partial)$. Therefore Φ^{n+1} is surjective.
Finally the following relations:

$$\Phi^{n+1}([\alpha].[\alpha']) = (\widetilde{\alpha \circ \alpha'}^{n+1}, [\alpha_{(n)} \circ \alpha'_{(n)}]) = (\widetilde{\alpha}^{n+1}, [\alpha_{(n)}]) \circ (\widetilde{\alpha'}^{n+1}, [\alpha'_{(n)}]) = \Phi^{n+1}([\alpha]) \circ \Phi^{n+1}([\alpha'])$$

assure that Φ^{n+1} is a homomorphism of groups □

Remark 2.2. *Assume that* $(\alpha_{(n)} \circ \partial - \partial \circ \xi^{n+1})(V^{n+1}) \cap \partial_{\leq n}\big((\Lambda V^{\leq n})^{n+1}\big) = \{0\}$, *then the element* $u_\sigma \in (\Lambda V^{\leq n})^{n+1}$, *given in the formula (2.4), must be a cocycle. Therefore if there are no trivial coycles belong to* $(\Lambda V^{\leq n})^{n+1}$, *then the cochain isomorphism* α *defined in (2.5) will satisfy* $\alpha(v_\sigma) = \xi^{n+1}(v_\sigma)$, *so it is unique. Hence, in this case, the map* Φ^{n+1} *is an isomorphism.*

2.4. **Main theorem.** For every natural $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let us consider the following 1-connected mcca:
$\Lambda V = \Lambda(x_1, \dots, x_{n+2}, y_1, y_2, y_3, w, z)$ with $|x_{n+2}| = 2^{n+2}-2$, $|x_k| = 2^k$ for every $1 \leq k \leq n+1$.
The differential is as follows:

$$\partial(x_1) = \cdots = \partial(x_{n+2}) = 0 \quad , \quad \partial(y_1) = x_{n+1}^3 x_{n+2} \quad , \quad \partial(y_2) = x_{n+1}^2 x_{n+2}^2$$

$$\partial(y_3) = x_{n+1} x_{n+2}^3 \quad , \quad \partial(w) = x_1^{28} x_2^{18} x_3^{18} \dots x_n^{18}$$

$$\partial(z) = x_1^{2^n+7}(y_1 y_2 x_{n+2}^3 - y_1 y_3 x_{n+1} x_{n+2}^2 + y_2 y_3 x_{n+1}^2 x_{n+2}) + \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} x_k^{9.2^{n+2-k}} + x_1^9 x_{n+2}^9$$

So that:

$$|y_1| = 5.2^{n+1}-3 \quad , \quad |y_2| = 6.2^{n+1}-5 \quad , \quad |y_3| = 7.2^{n+1}-7 \quad , \quad |w| = 9.2^{n+2}-17 \quad , \quad |z| = 9.2^{n+2}-1$$

Theorem 2.1. $\mathcal{E}(\Lambda V, \partial) \cong \underset{2^{n+1}}{\oplus} \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Thereafter we will need the following facts.

Lemma 2.2. *There are no cocycles (except 0) in* $(\Lambda V^{\leq i-1})^i$ *for* $i = 5.2^{n+1} - 3$, $6.2^{n+1} - 5$, $7.2^{n+1} - 7$.

Proof. First since the generators $x_k, 1 \leq k \leq n+2$, have even degrees we deduce that $(\Lambda V^{\leq 5.2^{n+1}-4})^{5.2^{n+1}-3} = 0$.
Next the vector space $(\Lambda V^{\leq 6.2^{n+1}-6})^{6.2^{n+1}-5}$ has only two generators namely $y_1 x_1^{2^n-1}, y_1 x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$ and because of:

$$\partial(y_1 x_1^{2^n-1}) = x_{n+1}^3 x_{n+2} x_1^{2^{n+1}-1} \quad , \quad \partial(y_1 x_1 x_2 \dots x_n) = x_{n+1}^3 x_{n+2} x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$$

we deduce that there are no cocycles (except 0) in $(\Lambda V^{\leq 6.2^{n+1}-6})^{6.2^{n+1}-5}$.
Finally $(\Lambda V^{\leq 7.2^{n+1}-8})^{7.2^{n+1}-7}$ is spanned by:

$$y_1 x_1^{2^{n+1}-2} \, , \, y_1 x_2^{2^n-1} \, , \, y_1 x_1^2 x_2^2 \dots x_n^2 \, , \, y_2 x_1^{2^n-1} \, , \, y_2 x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$$

and since we have:

$$\partial(y_1 x_1^{2^{n+1}-2}) = x_{n+1}^3 x_{n+2} x_1^{2^{n+1}-2} \, , \, \partial(y_1 x_2^{2^n-1}) = x_{n+1}^3 x_{n+2} x_2^{2^n-1} \, , \, \partial(y_2 x_1^{2^n-1}) = x_{n+1}^2 x_{n+2}^2 x_1^{2^n-1},$$

$$\partial(y_1x_1^2x_2^2\dots x_n^2)=x_{n+1}^3x_{n+2}x_1^2x_2^2\dots x_n^2\ ,\ \ \partial(y_2x_1x_2\dots x_n)=x_{n+1}^2x_{n+2}^2x_1x_2\dots x_n$$

we conclude that there are no cocycles (except 0) belonging to $(\Lambda V^{\leq 7.2^{n+1}-8})^{7.2^{n+1}-7}$. □

Lemma 2.3. *Every cocycles in* $(\Lambda V^{\leq 9.2^{n+2}-2})^{9.2^{n+2}-1}$ *is a coboundary.*

Proof. First an easy computation shows that $(\Lambda V^{\leq 9.2^{n+2}-2})^{9.2^{n+2}-1}$ is generated by the elements on the form:

$$\begin{array}{cll}
y_1x_1^{a_1}x_2^{a_2}...x_{n+1}^{a_{n+1}}x_{n+2}^{a_{n+2}} & \text{where} & \sum_{i=1}^{n+2}a_i2^i-2a_{n+2}=13.2^{n+1}+2\\
y_2x_1^{b_1}x_2^{b_2}...x_{n+1}^{b_{n+1}}x_{n+2}^{b_{n+2}} & \text{where} & \sum_{i=1}^{n+2}b_i2^i-2b_{n+2}=12.2^{n+1}+4\\
y_3x_1^{c_1}x_2^{c_2}...x_{n+1}^{c_{n+1}}x_{n+2}^{c_{n+2}} & \text{where} & \sum_{i=1}^{n+1}c_i2^i-2c_{n+2}=11.2^{n+1}+6\\
x_1^{e_1}x_2^{e_2}x_3^{e_3}y_1y_2y_3 & \text{where} & e_1+2e_2+4e_3=7\\
wx_1^{d_1}x_2^{d_2}x_3^{d_3}x_4^{d_4} & \text{where} & d_1+2d_2+4d_3+8d_4=8
\end{array}$$

Since:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\partial(x_1^{e_1}x_2^{e_2}x_3^{e_3}y_1y_2y_3) & = & x_1^{e_1}x_2^{e_2}x_3^{e_3}(x_{n+1}^3x_{n+2}y_2y_3-x_{n+1}^2x_{n+2}^2y_1y_3+x_{n+1}x_{n+2}^3y_1y_2)\\
\partial(wx_1^{d_1}x_2^{d_2}x_3^{d_3}x_4^{d_4}) & = & wx_1^{28+d_1}x_2^{18+d_2}x_3^{18+d_3}x_4^{18+d_4}x_5^{18}\dots x_n^{18}
\end{array}$$

we deduce that the elements which could be cocycles in $(\Lambda V^{\leq 9.2^{n+2}-2})^{9.2^{n+2}-1}$ are on the form:

$$\alpha y_1x_1^{a_1}x_2^{a_2}...x_{n+1}^{a_{n+1}}x_{n+2}^{a_{n+2}}+\beta y_2x_1^{b_1}x_2^{b_2}...x_{n+1}^{b_{n+1}}x_{n+2}^{b_{n+2}}+\lambda y_3x_1^{c_1}x_2^{c_2}...x_{n+1}^{c_{n+1}}x_{n+2}^{c_{n+2}}$$

with the following relations:

$$a_i=b_i=c_i\quad ,\quad 1\leq i\leq n\quad ,\quad \alpha+\beta+\lambda=0$$

$$c_{n+1}=a_{n+1}+2\ \ ,\ \ c_{n+2}=a_{n+2}-2\quad ,\quad b_{n+1}=a_{n+1}+1\ \ ,\ \ b_{n+2}=a_{n+2}-1$$

Accordingly the elements:

$$y_1x_1^{a_1}x_2^{a_2}...x_{n+1}^{a_{n+1}}x_{n+2}^{a_{n+2}}-y_3x_1^{a_1}x_2^{a_2}...x_{n+1}^{a_{n+1}+2}x_{n+2}^{a_{n+2}-2}$$

$$y_2x_1^{a_1}x_2^{a_2}...x_{n+1}^{a_{n+1}+1}x_{n+2}^{a_{n+2}-1}-y_3x_1^{a_1}x_2^{a_2}...x_{n+1}^{a_{n+1}+2}x_{n+2}^{a_{n+2}-2}$$

with $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}a_i2^i+a_{n+2}(2^{n+2}-2)=13.2^{n+1}+2$, span the space of cocycles in $(\Lambda V^{\leq 9.2^{n+2}-2})^{9.2^{n+2}-1}$. Finally due to:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\partial(y_1y_3x_1^{a_1}x_2^{a_2}...x_{n+1}^{a_{n+1}-1}x_{n+2}^{a_{n+2}-3}) & = & -y_1x_1^{a_1}x_2^{a_2}...x_{n+1}^{a_{n+1}}x_{n+2}^{a_{n+2}}+y_3x_1^{a_1}x_2^{a_2}...x_{n+1}^{a_{n+1}+2}x_{n+2}^{a_{n+2}-2}\\
\partial(y_2y_3x_1^{a_1}x_2^{a_2}...x_{n+1}^{a_{n+1}}x_{n+2}^{a_{n+2}-4}) & = & -y_2x_1^{a_1}x_2^{a_2}...x_{n+1}^{a_{n+1}+1}x_{n+2}^{a_{n+2}-1}+y_3x_1^{a_1}x_2^{a_2}...x_{n+1}^{a_{n+1}+2}x_{n+2}^{a_{n+2}-2}
\end{array}$$

we deduce that $(\Lambda V^{\leq 9.2^{n+2}-2})^{9.2^{n+2}-1}$ is generated by coboundaries and the lemma is proved. □

By the same manner we have:

Lemma 2.4. *The sub-vector space of cocycles in* $(\Lambda V^{\leq 9.2^{n+2}-18})^{9.2^{n+2}-17}$ *is generated by the elements on the form:*

$$y_1x_1^{a'_1}x_2^{a'_2}...x_{n+1}^{a'_{n+1}}x_{n+2}^{a'_{n+2}} - y_3x_1^{a'_1}x_2^{a'_2}...x_{n+1}^{a'_{n+1}+2}x_{n+2}^{a'_{n+2}-2}$$

$$y_2x_1^{a'_1}x_2^{a'_2}...x_{n+1}^{a'_{n+1}+1}x_{n+2}^{a'_{n+2}-1} - y_3x_1^{a'_1}x_2^{a'_2}...x_{n+1}^{a'_{n+1}+2}x_{n+2}^{a'_{n+2}-2}$$

where $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n+2} a'_i 2^i - 2a'_{n+2} = 13.2^{n+1} - 14$. *Moreover each generator of* $(\Lambda V^{\leq 9.2^{n+2}-18})^{9.2^{n+2}-17}$ *is a coboundary.*

Remark 2.3. *We have the following elementary facts:*
1) Any isomorphism $\xi^i : V^i \to V^i$, *where* $i = 2, \dots, 2^{n+1}$, $2^{n+2}-2$, $5.2^{n+1}-3$, $6.2^{n+1}-5$, $7.2^{n+1}-7$, $9.2^{n+2}-17$ *and* $9.2^{n+2}-1$, *is a multiplication with a nonzero rational number, so we write:*

$$\xi^2 = p_1 \quad , \quad \xi^4 = p_2, \qquad \dots\dots \qquad , \qquad \xi^{2^{n+2}} = p_{n+2}$$

$$\xi^{5.2^{n+1}-3} = p_{y_1} \quad , \quad \xi^{6.2^{n+1}-5} = p_{y_2} \quad , \quad \xi^{7.2^{n+1}-7} = p_{y_3} \quad , \quad \xi^{9.2^{n+2}-17} = p_w \quad , \quad \xi^{9.2^{n+2}-1} = p_z$$

2) As the generators:

$$x_{n+1}^3x_{n+2} \ , \ x_{n+1}^2x_{n+2}^2 \ , \ x_{n+1}x_{n+2}^3 \ , \ x_1^{28}x_2^{18}x_3^{18}\dots x_n^{18} \ , \ x_1^{9.2^{n+1}} \ , \ x_2^{9.2^n}, \dots, \ , \ x_{n+1}^9 \ , \ x_{n+1}^{9.2} \ , \ x_1^9x_{n+2}^9$$

$$x_1^{2^n+7}(y_1y_2x_{n+2}^3 - y_1y_3x_{n+1}x_{n+2}^2 + y_2y_3x_{n+1}^2x_{n+2})$$

are not reached by the differential and by the definition of the linear maps b^n *we deduce that:*

$$\begin{array}{lcllcl} b^{5.2^{n+1}-3}(y_1) & = & x_{n+1}x_{n+2}^3 & b^{9.2^{n+2}-17}(w) & = & x_1^{28}x_2^{18}x_3^{18}\dots x_n^{18} \\ b^{7.2^{n+1}-7}(y_3) & = & x_{n+1}^3x_{n+2} & b^{6.2^{n+1}-5}(y_2) & = & x_{n+1}^2x_{n+2}^2 \end{array}$$

$$b^{9.2^{n+2}-1}(z) = x_1^{2^n+7}(y_1y_2x_{n+2}^3 - y_1y_3x_{n+1}x_{n+2}^2 + y_2y_3x_{n+1}^2x_{n+2}) + \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} x_k^{9.2^{n+2-k}} + x_1^9x_{n+2}^9$$

3) Since the differential is nil on the generators x_k, *for every* $1 \leq k \leq n+2$, *any cochain isomorphism* $\alpha_{(k)} : (\Lambda V^{\leq k}, \partial) \to (\Lambda V^{\leq k}, \partial)$ *can be written as follows:*

$$\alpha_{(k)}(x_k) = p_kx_k + \sum q_{m_1,m_2,\cdots,m_{k-1}} x_1^{m_1}x_2^{m_2}...x_{k-1}^{m_{k-1}} \tag{2.6}$$

where:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} m_i 2^i = 2^k \qquad , \quad p_k, q_{m_1,m_2,\cdots,m_{k-1}} \in \mathbb{Q} \qquad , \quad p_k \neq 0$$

Now the last pages are devoted to the proof of theorem 2.1.

Proof. Let us begin by computing the group $\mathcal{E}(\Lambda V^{\leq 5.2^{n+1}-3},\partial)$. Indeed, by remark 2.2 and lemma 2.2 the homomorphism $\Phi^{5.2^{n+1}-3}:\mathcal{E}(\Lambda V^{\leq 5.2^{n+1}-3},\partial)\to\mathcal{C}^{5.2^{n+1}-3}$, given by proposition 2.1, is an isomorphism. So, by definition 2.3, we have to determine all the couples $(\xi^{5.2^{n+1}-3},[\alpha_{(5.2^{n+1}-4)}])\in Aut(V^{5.2^{n+1}-3})\times\mathcal{E}(\Lambda V^{\leq 5.2^{n+1}-4},\partial)$ such that:

$$b^{5.2^{n+1}-3}\circ\xi^{5.2^{n+1}-3}=H^{5.2^{n+1}-2}(\alpha_{(5.2^{n+1}-4)})\circ b^{5.2^{n+1}-3}\tag{2.7}$$

Indeed; since $V^{\leq 5.2^{n+1}-3}=V^{\leq 2^{n+2}-2}$ we deduce that, on the generators $x_k, 1\leq k\leq n+2$, the cochain morphism $\alpha_{(5.2^{n+1}-4)}$ is given by the relations (2.6). Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}H^{5.2^{n+1}-2}(\alpha_{(5.2^{n+1}-4)})\circ b^{5.2^{n+1}-3}(y_1)&=\Big(p_{n+1}x_{n+1}+\sum q_{m_1,m_2,\cdots,m_n}x_1^{m_1}x_2^{m_2}...x_n^{m_n}\Big)^3\Big(p_{n+2}x_{n+2}+\\&\quad\sum q_{m'_1,m'_2,\cdots,m'_{n+1}}x_1^{m'_1}x_2^{m'_2}...x_{n+1}^{m'_{n+1}}\Big)\\b^{5.2^{n+1}-3}\circ\xi^{5.2^{n+1}-3}(y_1)&=p_{y_1}x_{n+1}^3x_{n+2}\end{aligned}\tag{2.8}$$

hence we deduce that $p_{y_1}=p_{n+1}^3p_{n+2}$ and that all the numbers $q_{m_1,m_2,\cdots,m_n}$ and $q_{m'_1,m'_2,\cdots,m'_{n+1}}$, given in (2.6), should be nil. Thus we can say that the group $\mathcal{E}(\Lambda V^{\leq 5.2^{n+1}-3},\partial)$ is consisting of the classes $[\alpha_{(5.2^{n+1}-3)}]$ such that the cochain isomorphisms $\alpha_{(5.2^{n+1}-3)}$ satisfy:

$$\alpha_{(5.2^{n+1}-4)}(x_{n+1})=p_{n+1}x_{n+1}\ ,\ \alpha_{(5.2^{n+1}-4)}(x_{n+2})=p_{n+2}x_{n+2}\ ,\ \alpha_{(5.2^{n+1}-4)}(y_1)=p_{y_1}y_1$$

$$\alpha_{(5.2^{n+1}-3)}(x_k)=p_kx_k+\sum q_{m_1,m_2,\cdots,m_{k-1}}x_1^{m_1}x_2^{m_2}...x_{k-1}^{m_{k-1}}\ ,\ 1\leq k\leq n\tag{2.9}$$

with $p_{y_1}=p_{n+1}^3p_{n+2}$.

Computation of the group $\mathcal{E}(\Lambda V^{\leq 6.2^{n+1}-5},\partial)$

This group can be computed from $\mathcal{E}(\Lambda V^{\leq 5.2^{n+1}-3},\partial)$ by using proposition 2.1. Indeed; by remark 2.2 the homomorphism $\Phi^{6.2^{n+1}-5}:\mathcal{E}(\Lambda V^{\leq 6.2^{n+1}-5},\partial)\to\mathcal{C}^{6.2^{n+1}-5}$ is also an isomorphism. Recalling again that the group $\mathcal{C}^{6.2^{n+1}-5}$ contains all the couples $(\xi^{6.2^{n+1}-5},[\alpha_{(6.2^{n+1}-6)}])$ such that:

$$H^{6.2^{n+1}-4}(\alpha_{(6.2^{n+1}-6)})\circ b^{6.2^{n+1}-5}=b^{6.2^{n+1}-5}\circ\xi^{6.2^{n+1}-5}.\tag{2.10}$$

Since $\alpha_{(6.2^{n+1}-6)}=\alpha_{(5.2^{n+1}-3)}$ on $V^{\leq 6.2^{n+1}-6}=V^{\leq 5.2^{n+1}-3}$, then by using (2.9) and the formula giving $b^{6.2^{n+1}-5}$ in remark 2.3 we get:

$$\begin{aligned}H^{6.2^{n+1}-4}(\alpha_{(6.2^{n+1}-6)})\circ b^{6.2^{n+1}-4}(y_2)&=p_{n+1}^2p_{n+2}^2x_{n+1}^2x_{n+2}^2\\b^{5.2^{n+1}-3}\circ\xi^{5.2^{n+1}-3}(y_2)&=p_{y_2}x_{n+1}^2x_{n+2}^2\end{aligned}\tag{2.11}$$

From the relation (2.10) we deduce that $p_{y_2}=p_{n+1}^2p_{n+2}^2$. Thus the group $\mathcal{E}(\Lambda V^{\leq 6.2^{n+1}-5},\partial)$ is consisting of all the classes $[\alpha_{(6.2^{n+1}-5)}]$ such that the cochain isomorphisms $\alpha_{(6.2^{n+1}-5)}$ satisfy:

$$\alpha_{(6.2^{n+1}-5)}(y_2)=p_{y_2}y_2\quad,\qquad\alpha_{(6.2^{n+1}-5)}=\alpha_{(5.2^{n+1}-3)}\ ,\ \text{on }V^{\leq 5.2^{n+1}-3}\tag{2.12}$$

with $p_{y_2}=p_{n+1}^2p_{n+2}^2$.

Computation of the group $\mathcal{E}(\Lambda V^{\leq 7.2^{n+1}-7}, \partial)$

First the same arguments show that $\mathcal{E}(\Lambda V^{\leq 7.2^{n+1}-7}, \partial)$ is isomorphic to the group $\mathcal{C}^{7.2^{n+1}-7}$ of all the couples $(\xi^{7.2^{n+1}-7}, [\alpha_{(7.2^{n+1}-8)}])$ such that:

$$H^{7.2^{n+1}-6}(\alpha_{(7.2^{n+1}-8)}) \circ b^{7.2^{n+1}-7} = b^{7.2^{n+1}-7} \circ \xi^{7.2^{n+1}-7} \tag{2.13}$$

Next since $\alpha_{(7.2^{n+1}-8)} = \alpha_{(6.2^{n+1}-5)}$ on $V^{\leq 7.2^{n+1}-8} = V^{\leq 6.2^{n+1}-5}$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} H^{7.2^{n+1}-6}(\alpha_{(7.2^{n+1}-8)}) \circ b^{7.2^{n+1}-7}(y_3) &= p_{n+1}p_{n+2}^3 x_{n+1}x_{n+2}^3 \\ b^{7.2^{n+1}-7} \circ \xi^{7.2^{n+1}-7} &= p_{y_3} x_{n+1}x_{n+2}^3 \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

and from (2.13) we get the equation $p_{y_3} = p_{n+1}p_{n+2}^3$. This implies that $\mathcal{E}(\Lambda V^{\leq 7.2^{n+1}-7}, \partial)$ is consisting of all the classes $[\alpha_{(7.2^{n+1}-7)}]$ such that the cochain isomorphisms $\alpha_{(7.2^{n+1}-7)}$ satisfy:

$$\alpha_{(7.2^{n+1}-7)}(y_3) = p_{y_3}y_3, \quad , \quad \alpha_{(7.2^{n+1}-7)} = \alpha_{(6.2^{n+1}-5)} \quad , \text{ on } \quad V^{\leq 6.2^{n+1}-5} \tag{2.15}$$

with $p_{y_3} = p_{n+1}p_{n+2}^3$.

The group $\mathcal{E}(\Lambda V^{\leq 9.2^{n+2}-17}, \partial)$

Let us determine the group $\mathcal{C}^{9.2^{n+2}-17}$ of all the couples $(\xi^{9.2^{n+2}-17}, [\alpha_{(9.2^{n+2}-16)}])$ such that:

$$H^{9.2^{n+2}-16}(\alpha_{(9.2^{n+2}-18)}) \circ b^{9.2^{n+2}-17} = b^{9.2^{n+2}-17} \circ \xi^{9.2^{n+2}-17} \tag{2.16}$$

Note that $\alpha_{(9.2^{n+2}-18)} = \alpha_{(7.2^{n+1}-7)}$ on $V^{\leq 9.2^{n+1}-18} = V^{\leq 7.2^{n+1}-7}$. So we deduce that:

$$\begin{aligned} H^{9.2^{n+2}-16}(\alpha_{(9.2^{n+2}-18)}) \circ b^{9.2^{n+2}-17}(w) &= p_1^{28}x_1^{28}.\prod_{k=2}^{n}\Big(p_kx_k + \sum q_{m_1,m_2,\cdots,m_{k-1}}x_1^{m_1}x_2^{m_2}...x_{k-1}^{m_{k-1}}\Big)^{18} \\ b^{9.2^{n+2}-17} \circ \xi^{9.2^{n+2}-17}(w) &= p_w x_1^{28}x_2^{18}x_3^{18}\dots x_n^{18} \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Now from the relation (2.16) we deduce that $p_w = p_1^{38}p_2^{18}\dots p_n^{18}$ and that all the numbers $q_{m_1,m_2,\cdots,m_{k-1}}$, given in (2.6), should be nil.
Now by proposition 2.1 we have:

$$(\Phi^{9.2^{n+2}-17})^{-1}(\mathcal{C}^{9.2^{n+2}-17}) = \mathcal{E}(\Lambda V^{\leq 9.2^{n+2}-17}, \partial)$$

so, by going back to the relation (2.5), we can say that if $[\alpha] \in \mathcal{E}(\Lambda V^{\leq 9.2^{n+2}-17}, \partial)$, then:

$$\alpha(w) \;=\; p_w w + a \tag{2.18}$$

where $a \in (\Lambda V^{\leq 9.2^{n+2}-18})^{9.2^{n+2}-17}$. A simple computation shows that:

$$(\alpha \circ \partial - \partial \circ \xi^{9.2^{n+2}-17})(V^{9.2^{n+2}-17}) \cap \partial_{\leq 9.2^{n+2}-18}\big((\Lambda V^{\leq 9.2^{n+2}-18})^{9.2^{n+2}-17}\big) = \{0\}.$$

Therefore by remark 2.2 the element a is a cocycle. But lemma 2.4 asserts that any cocycle in $(\Lambda V^{\leq 9.2^{n+2}-18})^{9.2^{n+2}-17}$ is a coboundary.

Thus summarizing our above analysis we infer that the cochain isomorphisms α satisfy:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(w) &= p_w w + \partial(a') \quad , \quad \text{where } \partial(a') = a \\ \alpha &= \alpha_{(7.2^{n+1}-7)} \quad , \quad \text{on } V^{\leq 9.2^{n+1}-18} \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Finally by lemma 2.1 all these cochain isomorphisms form one homotopy class which we represent by the cochain isomorphism denoted $\alpha_{(9.2^{n+2}-17)}$ and satisfying:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{(9.2^{n+2}-17)}(w) &= p_w w \quad , \quad \alpha_{(9.2^{n+2}-17)}(x_k) = p_k x_k \quad , \quad 1 \leq k \leq n \\ \alpha_{(9.2^{n+2}-17)}(y_1) &= p_{y_1} y_1 \quad , \quad \alpha_{(9.2^{n+2}-17)}(y_2) = p_{y_2} y_2 \quad , \quad \alpha_{(9.2^{n+2}-17)}(y_3) = p_{y_3} y_3 \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

with:

$$p_{y_1} = p_{n+1}^3 p_{n+2} \quad , \quad p_{y_2} = p_{n+1}^2 p_{n+2}^2 \quad , \quad p_{y_3} = p_{n+1} p_{n+2}^3 \quad , \quad p_w = p_1^{28} p_2^{18} \dots p_n^{18}. \tag{2.21}$$

Computation of the group $\mathcal{E}(\Lambda V^{\leq 9.2^{n+2}-1}, \partial)$

$\mathcal{C}^{9.2^{n+2}-1}$ is the group of all the couples $(\xi^{9.2^{n+2}-1}, [\alpha_{(9.2^{n+2}-2)}])$ such that:

$$H^{9.2^{n+2}}(\alpha_{(9.2^{n+2}-2)}) \circ b^{9.2^{n+2}-1} = b^{9.2^{n+2}-1} \circ \xi^{9.2^{n+2}-1}. \tag{2.22}$$

Due to the fact that $\alpha_{(9.2^{n+2}-2)} = \alpha_{(9.2^{n+2}-17)}$ on $V^{\leq 9.2^{n+1}-2} = V^{\leq 9.2^{n+1}-17}$, we deduce that $\alpha_{(9.2^{n+1}-2)}$ satisfies the relations (2.20). Consequently:

$$\begin{aligned} b^{9.2^{n+2}-1} \circ \xi^{9.2^{n+2}-1}(z) &= p_z x_1^{2^n+7}(y_1 y_2 x_{n+2}^3 - y_1 y_3 x_{n+1} x_{n+2}^2 + y_2 y_3 x_{n+1}^2 x_{n+2}) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} p_z x_k^{9.2^{n+2-k}} + p_z x_1^9 x_{n+2}^9 \\ H^{9.2^{n+2}}(\alpha_{(9.2^{n+2}-2)}) \circ b^{9.2^{n+2}-1}(z) &= p_1^{2^n+7} p_{n+1}^5 p_{n+2}^6 x_1^{2^n+7}(y_1 y_2 x_{n+2}^3 - y_1 y_3 x_{n+1} x_{n+2}^2 + y_2 y_3 x_{n+1}^2 x_{n+2}) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} p_k^{9.2^{n+2-k}} x_k^{9.2^{n+2-k}} + p_1^9 p_{n+2}^9 x_1^9 x_{n+2}^9 \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

Therefore from the formulas (2.22) and (2.23) we deduce the following equations:

$$p_z = p_1^{2^n+7} p_{n+1}^5 p_{n+2}^6 = p_1^{9.2^{n+1}} = \dots = p_n^{9.2^2} = p_{n+1}^{9.2} = p_1^9 p_{n+2}^9.$$

Again by proposition 2.1 we have:

$$(\Phi^{9.2^{n+2}-1})^{-1}(\mathcal{C}^{9.2^{n+2}-1}) = \mathcal{E}(\Lambda V^{\leq 9.2^{n+2}-1}, \partial)$$

so, by going back to the relation (2.5), if $[\beta] \in \mathcal{E}(\Lambda V^{\leq 9.2^{n+2}-1}, \partial)$, then $\beta(z) = p_z z + c$ where, by using remark 2.2, the element c is a cocycle in $(\Lambda V^{\leq 9.2^{n+2}-2})^{9.2^{n+2}-1}$. By lemma 2.4 any cocycle is a coboundary. Thus the cochain morphism β satisfy:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(z) &= p_z z + \partial(c') \quad , \quad \text{where } \partial(c') = c \\ \beta &= \alpha_{9.2^{n+2}-17} \quad , \quad \text{on } V^{\leq 9.2^{n+2}-2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

Due to lemma 2.1 all these cochain isomorphisms form one homotopy class which we represent by $\alpha_{(9.2^{n+2}-1)}$ and satisfying:

$$\begin{array}{lllllllll} \alpha_{(9.2^{n+2}-1)}(z) & = & p_z z\,, & \alpha_{(9.2^{n+2}-1)}(w) & = & p_w w\,, & \alpha_{(9.2^{n+2}-1)}(x_k) & = & p_k x_k\,,\ 1 \leq k \leq n+2 \\ \alpha_{(9.2^{n+2}-1)}(y_1) & = & p_{y_1} y_1\,, & \alpha_{(9.2^{n+2}-1)}(y_2) & = & p_{y_2} y_2\,, & \alpha_{(9.2^{n+2}-1)}(y_3) & = & p_{y_3} y_3 \end{array}$$

with the following equations:

$$p_{y_1} = p_{n+1}^3 p_{n+2}\ ,\ p_{y_2} = p_{n+1}^2 p_{n+2}^2\ ,\ p_{y_3} = p_{n+1} p_{n+2}^3\ ,\ p_w = p_1^{28} p_2^{18} \dots p_2^{18}$$

$$p_z = p_1^{2^n+7} p_{n+1}^5 p_{n+2}^6 = p_1^{9.2^{n+1}} = \dots = p_n^{9.2^2} = p_{n+1}^{9.2} = p_1^9 p_{n+2}^9$$

which have the following solutions:

$$p_{n+2} = p_{y_2} = p_w = 1 \quad , \quad p_z = p_{y_1} = p_{y_3} = p_1 = p_2 = \dots = p_n = p_{n+1} = \pm 1.$$

So we distinguish two cases:
First case: when $p_{n+1} = 1$, then:

$$p_{n+2} = p_{y_2} = p_w = 1 \quad , \quad p_z = p_{y_1} = p_{y_3} = p_{n+1} = 1 \quad , \quad p_1 = p_2 = \cdots = p_n = \pm 1.$$

so we find 2^n homotopy classes.
Second case: when $p_{n+1} = -1$, then:

$$p_{n+2} = p_{y_2} = p_w = 1 \quad , \quad p_z = p_{y_1} = p_{y_3} = p_{n+1} = -1 \quad , \quad p_1 = p_2 = \cdots = p_n = \pm 1.$$

and we also find 2^n homotopy classes. Hence, in total, we get 2^{n-1} homotopy classes which are of order 2 (excepted the classs of the identity) in the group $\mathcal{E}(\Lambda V^{\leq 9.2^{n+2}-1}, \partial)$.
In conclusion we conclude that:

$$\mathcal{E}(\Lambda V^{\leq 9.2^{n+2}-1}, \partial) \cong \underbrace{\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2}_{2^{n+1}.\text{times}}$$

Now by the fundamental theorems of rational homotopy theory due to Sullivan [3] we can find a 1-connected rational CW-complex X_n such that $\mathcal{E}(X_n) \cong \mathcal{E}(\Lambda V^{\leq 9.2^{n+2}-1}, \partial) \cong \underbrace{\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2}_{2^{n+1}.\text{times}}$.

□

Remark 2.4. *The spaces X_n are infinite-dimensional CW-complexes: rational homology is non-zero in infinitely many degrees and, as rational spaces, with infinitely many cells in each degree in which they have non-zero homology.*

We close this work by conjecturing that for a 1-connected rational CW-complex X, if the group is not trivial, then $\mathcal{E}(X)$ is either infinite or $\mathcal{E}(X) \cong \underbrace{\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2}_{2^n.\text{times}}$ for a certain natural number n.

REFERENCES

[1] M. Arkowitz and G Lupton, *Rational obstruction theory and rational homotopy sets,* Math. Z, 235, N.3, 525-539, 2002.

[2] M. Benkhalifa, *Rational self-homotopy equivalences and Whitehead exact sequence*, Journal of Homotopy and Related Structures Vol. 4(2009), No. 1, pp. 111-121, 2009.

[3] Y. Felix, S. Halperin and J-C. Thomas *Rational homotopy theory,* Springer-Verlag, GTM 205, 2000

[4] D.W. Khan, *Realization problem for the group of homotopy classes of self-homotopy equivalences*, Math. Annalen 220, 37-46, 1976.

E-mail address: `makhalifa@uqu.edu.sa`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS. FACULTY OF APPLIED SCIENCES. UMM AL-QURA UNIVERSITY. MEKKA. SAUDI ARABIA